


1 LAW OFFICES OF VICKI I. SARMIENTO
2 A Professional Corporation
3 VICKI I. SARMIENTO, SBN 134047
4 333 North Garfield Avenue
5 Alhambra, California 91801
6 Telephone: (626) 308-1171
7 Facsimile : (626) 308-1101

✓ JS-6



8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 MARIA DELIA ORTIZ, G.O. though her
12 Guardian Ad Litem MARIA DELIA ORTIZ,
13 C.O. through her Guardian Ad Litem MARIA
14 DELIA ORTIZ, A.M.C. through her Guardian
15 Ad Litem AMADA CORTEZ, and CARMEN
16 GARAY,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 vs.

19 CITY OF MAYWOOD,
20 CUDAHAY/MAYWOOD POLICE
21 DEPARTMENT, BRUCE LEFLAR, DET.
22 FRANK GARCIA, DET. ANDREW
23 SERRATA, OFFICER BRENT TALMO,
24 OFFICER PABLO CUNNINGHAM,
25 Defendants.

Case No.: CV 06-3622 DSF (FMOx)

**STPULATION AND PROPOSED
ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF CASE**

26 The parties, through their respective attorneys of record, stipulate to the dismissal of
27 the entire action with prejudice by Plaintiffs, MARIA DELIA ORTIZ, G.O. though her
28 Guardian Ad Litem MARIA DELIA ORTIZ, C.O. through her Guardian Ad Litem MARIA

1 DELIA ORTIZ, A.M.C. through her Guardian Ad Litem AMADA CORTEZ, and CARMEN
2 GARAY, against all defendants.

3
4 DATED: December 3, 2007 LAW OFFICES OF VICKI I. SARMIENTO

5
6
7 By 

8 VICKI I. SARMIENTO
9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

10
11 Dated: _____ BOHM, MATSEN, KEGEL & AGUILERA, LLP

12
13
14 By: _____
15 Lee A. Wood, Esq.
16 Attorneys for Defendants

17 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

18 The Court having considered the Stipulation for dismissal of the action hereby
19 dismisses the entire action brought by plaintiffs against all defendants with prejudice.
20

21
22 DATED: 12-6-07

23 
24 DALE S. FISCHER


1 DELIA ORTIZ, A.M.C. through her Guardian Ad Litem AMADA CORTEZ, and CARMEN
2 GARAY, against all defendants.

3
4 DATED: December 3, 2007 LAW OFFICES OF VICKI I. SARMIENTO

5
6
7 By 
8 VICKI I. SARMIENTO
9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

10 Dated: 12-4-07

11 BOHM, MATSEN, KEGEL & AGUILERA, LLP

12
13 By: 
14 Lee A. Wood, Esq.
15 Attorneys for Defendants

16
17 [PROPOSED] ORDER

18 The Court having considered the Stipulation for dismissal of the action hereby
19 dismisses the entire action brought by plaintiffs against all defendants with prejudice.
20

21
22 DATED: _____

23 DALE S. FISCHER
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 333 N. Garfield Avenue, Alhambra, California 91801.

On December 4, 2007, I served the following document described as: **STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF CASE** served on the interested parties by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:


Lee A. Wood, Esq.
BOHM, MATSEN, KEGEL & AGUILERA, LLP
5 Hutton Centre Drive, 12th Floor
Santa Ana, California 92707

I deposited such envelope in the mail at Alhambra, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Alhambra, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit of mailing in affidavit.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed this 4th day of December 2007, at Alhambra, California.


Sandra Vidal-Conde